

REVIEW FORM
Minor review

Title of the article:

.....

.....

Manuscript signature number:

Academic title, Name of the reviewer:

.....

.....

Academic affiliation:

.....

I. Evaluation of the corrected article

This is the second and last stage of review.

You can accept the paper for publication now or request further changes.

If you have any suggestions of further changes, the author will be once again asked to amend the article accordingly. The corrected version of the article will be then sent to you for final acceptance (a yes/no decision).

<i>Dear Reviewer, Please tick the chosen answer or write your comments.</i>		Evaluation		
		YES	PARTIALLY	NO
1.	Are the suggested changes incorporated?			
2.	Are the changes well justified and properly marked?			
3.	Is the subject matter presented clearly and examined well enough now?			
4.	Has the general quality of the paper improved?			
5.	Does the article require further changes?			

Notes and comments (expand the field if needed)

II. Final assessment of the article

Dear Reviewer, please mark your decision with a tick.

The article is suitable for publication:			
In its current form	After correction, in line with the reviewer's feedback	After a thorough re-editing and revision	The article is not suitable for publication
Justification of the reviewer's decision (this field cannot be left blank, expand if needed)			

.....
Place

.....
Date

.....
Signature of the reviewer
(not electronic)

Reviewer's personal data will be known only to the Editorial Board of ICAR – International Journal of Young Conservators and Restorers of Works of Art, according to the protocol of the ***double – blind reviewing process***.